Recommender System in the Real World

Sanghyuk Chun Researcher @CLAIR (Clova Al Research)

About Me...



이 시각 추천뉴스

1boon

朴대통령,계엄령

준비한다는 정보도 돌아



[단독]장시호 아들도 '개명'..강남 소재 국제학교로 '수상한 전학'



野 일각, 수그러들지않는 '先 총리 추천론'..개헌론 도연계



나

스토리펀딩

회 20161115

TV팟

삼성 "정유라만을 위한 지원은 아니었는데 최순실측이 독… 뉴스

수능 한국사 14번 복수정답 논란..평가원 "중대사안 인… 연합뉴스

秋 "계엄령 정보" 발언에 '시끌시끌'..與 "무책임한 선동" 연합뉴스

[토요 FOCUS] '차탄핵' 3대변수..명분·새누리 이탈표·현··· 매일경제

"내가 천국 갔을 때 우리 뽀삐 만날 수 있을까요?" 국민일보





어렸을 때 별명

'연애박사', 결혼

司試 연수

20 세

계획은..

👰 ব্রুধ

987년 제

new

+2

new

+ 119

+ 59

new

↓ 14







용계획 논란

무더기 지연(종합)

아..팬들 위한 음악할 것"

위기

누가 백범을 암살했나?





과적 수단"

[Oh쎈 토크] 비스트 "차트1위, 날아갈 것 같

'제이슨 본' 맷 데이먼-알리시아 비칸데르 7

정무위 김영란법 공방.."11조 경제 손

실"vs"권익위, 휘둘려선 안돼"

무대 위의 소금꽃 '문화노동자'

월 내한 확정













 \sim



野 "대북제재 맞느냐"..통일부 "지금 가장 효





















🙆 f 🗭 🎙 🎽	이유 이내 에는데 웹스시츠이 드	실시간검색어 뉴스 스포츠 연예
-	원은 아니었는데 쇠군절약이 속… 유스	2 계엄령이란 + 2
당신을 위한 브런치	날 논란평가원 "중대사안 인··· 연합뉴스	3 프링글스 new
	니끌시끌'與 "무책임한 선동" 연합뉴스	4 하이만내십회 ◆ 119 5 류철균 ◆ 59
un state	변 비 만날 수 있을까요? "국민일보	6 특검 반대 의원 new 7 한국사 14번 ◆ 14
THE LOW	내변수명분·새누리 이탈표·헌 ··· 매일경제	
	세 암환자 냉동보존 소원들어… 연합뉴스	
[제주 대정]과거 현재 그리 당진 왜목마을에서 고 미래의 이야기가 있다-5	매입금융위기 이후 처음 머니투데이	100%원목식탁
	·공휴일' 근무 없앤다 머니투데이	에상무환인기 인가-이유터
	₩ 대통령 역사법정 세울것"(종··· 연합뉴스	
	험프 당선	율겨울걱정끝 요중 뜬다 환한 주양당!
TY THE		
Here Andrews		
	인사이드 다음	
네가 지금 여행이나 할 때 바다가 툭 떨어지는 곳 여		5
T SER		66
		어렸을 때 별명
	AT MARKEN AND	전에먹자, 결혼 계획은
	〒118 그의 기사, 지독하거나 혹은 살벌하거 나	
	스토리펀딩	 조정석
제수올레 21코스, 그곳엔 마음의 고향 강정, 해복한 미소들만 있었다.	2 60	우병우 연 차
즈비하다는		20 M
전보도 동아	A ROL	А В

(2)

1

1987년 제 29회



과적 수단"

용계획 논란

위기

월 내한 확정

누가 백범을 암살했나?



 \sim









 \sim



















Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Traditional Methods
- 3. Novel Methods
- 4. Real World Recommender System

Introduction to Recommender System

What is a recommender system?

A recommender system recommends **items** to **users** to optimize a utility composed of one or more **objectives**

RecSys Example 1: YouTube Up next



RecSys Example 2: YouTube Recommended

Recommended



TOP 50 EPIC DODGES | League of Legends Montage

Synotik ⊘ 546K views • 1 month ago



박명수 레전드 Olchap 809K views • 1 month ago



푸른거탑 리턴즈 - ep.6 : 구덩이 파기의 끝은 과연 어디까지인가 tvN

450K views • 4 years ago

송민호 역대급 벌스 Top5

4:27

송민호(MINO)역대급 벌스 Top 5

HipHop Place/ 아마추어래퍼소개 251K views • 3 months ago



Theodore Roosevelt vs Winston Churchill. Epic Rap...

ERB ⊘ 24M views • 1 year ago



월드 오브 워크래프트 시네마틱: "노병"

BLIZZARDKOREA ⊘ 562K views • 2 weeks ago



푸른거탑 제로 EP2 신교대 입소 1 탄

Саня Мурашкин 318K views • 8 months ago



무한도전 레전드 무한상사 미방영 엉싸ㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋ

귀에 때려박는 라이브 863K views • 1 year ago

SHOW MORE

RecSys Example 3: LinkedIn Personalized Feed

	Share an article, photo, video or idea	Add to your feed Machine Learning + Follow
Sanghyuk Chun ML/AI Researcher in NAVER CLAIR (Clova AI Research) 158 Who's viewed your profile	Images Video Post Sort by: Top ▼	Deep Learning + Follow
	You'll no longer see this update in your feed	Amazon Company • Internet
	Mourad Touzani	

RecSys Example 4: Amazon item recommendation



Customers who bought this item also bought



<

Recommender Systems: The Textbook > Charu C. Aggarwal Hardcover \$43.90 **vprime**



Recommender Systems: An Introduction Dietmar Jannach Hardcover \$78.76 **_prime**

Deep Learning (Adaptive **Computation and Machine**

Learning) Ian Goodfellow Hardcover \$38.29

EEP LEARNING



Designing Data-Intensive Applications: The Big Ideas Behind Reliable,... Martin Kleppmann #1 Best Seller in MySQL

Guides Paperback \$31.18 **vprime**



Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Information Science... Christopher M. Bishop





The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and... Trevor Hastie Hardcover \$61.62 **vprime**

Hardcover

\$58.95 **vprime**

Python Francois Chollet

Paperback \$31.50 **vprime**

#1 Best Seller (in Speech & Audio Processina

Page 1 of 14

>

RecSys Example 5: Spotify Discover



https://medium.com/s/story/spotifys-discover-weekly-how-machine-learning-finds-your-new-music-19a41ab76efe

RecSys Example 6: Netflix Recommendation

House of Cards

***** 2013 TV-MA 1 Season ED 55

Sharks gliding ominously beneath the surface of the water? They're a lot less menacing than this Congressman.



This winner of three Emmys, including Outstanding Directing for David Fincher, stars Kevin Spacey and Robin Wright.



Because you watched Orange Is the New Black





Because you watched Red Lights







http://www.shalomeir.com/2014/11/netflix-prize-1/

Amazon: 35% of the purchases are from recommendation

Alibaba: up to 20% growth of conversion rate from personalized landing pages (during Chinese shopping festival)

YouTube: 70% of the watching is from recommendation

Netflix: 75% of what people are watching on Netflix comes from recommendations + Employing a recommender system enables Netflix to save around \$1 billion each year

https://tryolabs.com/blog/introduction-to-recommender-systems/

Traditional Methods

- CF / CB
- Netflix Problem

Traditional Recommendations

Collaborative Filtering (CF)











https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collaborative_filtering#/media/File:Collaborative_filtering.gif









https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collaborative_filtering#/media/File:Collaborative_filtering.gif

Traditional Recommendations

Contents Based Filtering (CB)



BUMP OF CHICKEN^rHello,world!_LIVE...

BUMP OF CHICKEN *『* 조회수 144만회 • 2년 전



BUMP OF CHICKEN feat. HATSUNE MIKU^rray」

BUMP OF CHICKEN J 조회수 1295만회 • 4년 전



RecSys 2016: Tutorial on Lessons Learned from...

ACM RecSys 조회수 2.1천회 • 1년 전

Data for Recommendation

4

User History (rating, view, purchase, ...)

2

3







Content data (metadata, raw data, ...)



title: XXX contents: XXX thumb: XXX cateogory: …





	А	В	С
1	1		1
2	1		
3	1	1	
4		1	1
5			1
6		1	

Graph Form

Matrix Form

Traditional CF methods

- Low Rank Matrix Factorization



Brief Overview of Matrix Factorization

$$\min_{\hat{R}} \sum_{u,i \in \kappa} (r_{ui} - \hat{r}_{ui})^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \operatorname{rank}(\hat{R}) = k.$$

$$\min_{P,Q} \sum_{u,i\in\kappa} (r_{ui} - p_u \cdot q_i)^2 + \lambda(||p_u||_2^2 + ||q_i||_2^2).$$

$$\min_{x_{\star},y_{\star}} \sum_{u,i} c_{ui} (p_{ui} - x_u^T y_i)^2 + \lambda \left(\sum_u \|x_u\|^2 + \sum_i \|y_i\|^2 \right)$$

More readings

- [ALS + implicit feedback] Hu, Yifan, Yehuda Koren, and Chris Volinsky. "Collaborative filtering for implicit feedback datasets." Data Mining, 2008. ICDM'08. Eighth IEEE International Conference on. leee, 2008.
- [Overview paper] Koren, Yehuda, Robert Bell, and Chris Volinsky. "Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems." Computer 8 (2009): 30-37.
- **[PMF]** Mnih, Andriy, and Ruslan R. Salakhutdinov. "Probabilistic matrix factorization." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2008.
- [Logistic MF] Johnson, Christopher C. "Logistic matrix factorization for implicit feedback data." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27 (2014).
- [BPR-MF] Gantner, Zeno, et al. "Personalized ranking for non-uniformly sampled items." Proceedings of KDD Cup 2011. 2012.
- [AutoEncoder] Wang, Hao, Naiyan Wang, and Dit-Yan Yeung. "Collaborative deep learning for recommender systems." Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. ACM, 2015.

Recommendation using features

Item-to-item recommendation

- return "most K-similar items" to the query item

Personalized recommendation

 return items observed by "most K-similar users" to the query user

Limitation of CF

- Cold start: There needs to be enough other users already in the system to find a match. New items need to get enough ratings.
- Popularity bias: Hard to recommend items to someone with unique tastes. Tends to recommend popular items (items from the tail do not get so much data)

https://www.slideshare.net/xamat/recommender-systems-machine-learning-summer-school-2014-cmu

CF vs. CB

- Content–based recommendation with Bayesian classifier
- Collaborative is standard using Pearson correlation
- Collaboration via content uses the content-based user profiles



Averaged on 44 users

Precision computed in top 3 recommendations



Xavier Amatriain – July 2014 – Recommender Systems

https://www.slideshare.net/xamat/recommender-systems-machine-learning-summer-school-2014-cmu

Ensemble methods

Hybridization Method	<u>Description</u>
Weighted	Outputs from several techniques (in the form of scores or votes) are combined with different degrees of importance to offer final recommendations
Switching	Depending on situation, the system changes from one technique to another
Mixed	Recommendations from several techniques are presented at the same time
Feature combination	Features from different recommendation sources are combined as input to a single technique
Cascade	The output from one technique is used as input of another that refines the result
Feature augmentation	The output from one technique is used as input features to another
Meta-level	The model learned by one recommender is used as input to another

NETFLIX

Xavier Amatriain – July 2014 – Recommender Systems

https://www.slideshare.net/xamat/recommender-systems-machine-learning-summer-school-2014-cmu
Netflix Prize

2006.10 ~ 2009.07

Improve by 10% RMSE = \$ 1M! (Winner Takes ALL!)

Baseline algorithm (Cinematch): 0.9525

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}} \sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{X}} (r_{ij} - \hat{r}_{ij})^2$$

Winners of Netflix Prize

Grand Prize: team "BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos"

- 2007 Winner: team "BellKor" (Bell & Koren) (improved by **8.26%**)

- 2008 Winner: team "BellKor in Chaos" (Union of team BellKor and team Big Chaos) (improved by **9.44%**)

Winners of Netflix Prize

- Final Winner: "BellKor's Pragramatic Chaos" (Union of team BellKor, team Big Chaos and Pragmatic Theory) (improved by **10.06%**)

For achieving **10% improvement**, it takes about **3 years!**

Rank		Team Name	Best Test Score	<u>%</u> Improvement	Best Submit Time						
G	rand	<u>Prize</u> - RMSE = 0.8567 - Winning Te	eam: BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos								
1		BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos	0.8567	10.06	2009-07-26 18:18:28						
2		The Ensemble	0.8567	10.06	2009-07-26 18:38:22						
3		Grand Prize Team	0.8582	9.90	2009-07-10 21:24:40						
4		Opera Solutions and Vandelay United	0.8588	9.84	2009-07-10 01:12:31						
5		Vandelay Industries !	0.8591	9.81	2009-07-10 00:32:20						
6		PragmaticTheory	0.8594	9.77	2009-06-24 12:06:56						
7		BellKor in BigChaos	0.8601	9.70	2009-05-13 08:14:09						
8		Dace_	0.8612	9.59	2009-07-24 17:18:43						
9		Feeds2	0.8622	9.48	2009-07-12 13:11:51						
10		<u>BigChaos</u>	0.8623	9.47	2009-04-07 12:33:59						
11		Opera Solutions	0.8623	9.47	2009-07-24 00:34:07						
12		<u>BellKor</u>	0.8624	9.46	2009-07-26 17:19:11						

"That 20 minutes was worth a million dollar"



Recap: Cinematch (0.9525)



Koren, Yehuda, Robert Bell, and Chris Volinsky. "Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems." Computer 8 (2009): 30-37.

Evaluation of Recommender System

- **Offline evaluation**
- RMSE / MAE, ...
- precision / recall / AUC, ...
- ranking metrics: NDCG, MAP, MRR, ...

Not directly related to real world user behaviors

Evaluation of Recommender System

- **Online evaluation**
- CTR (Click-Through-Ratio)
- Cost per action, cost per click,
- PV / UV /

'Expensive' A/B test is required (also it is noisy)



https://www.slideshare.net/kimkwangseop/toros-python-framework-for-recommender-system



https://www.slideshare.net/kimkwangseop/toros-python-framework-for-recommender-system



https://www.slideshare.net/kimkwangseop/toros-python-framework-for-recommender-system

More Novel Methods

Learning to Rank Explore / Exploit Session Based Recommendation Deep Learning

It's the RANKING, stupid



https://www.slideshare.net/xamat/recommender-systems-machine-learning-summer-school-2014-cmu

Lessons from RMSE

Rating (explicit feedback) is noisy than preference (implicit feedback) in many cases

Ranking by rating is not best ranking



NETFLIX

Xavier Amatriain – July 2014 – Recommender Systems

https://www.slideshare.net/xamat/recommender-systems-machine-learning-summer-school-2014-cmu

Learning to rank

Learning to rank is the machine learning problem to optimize 'ranking' from ranked data

Generally, training data is partially observed!

By constructing ranking model directly, one can reconstruct ranking of the unobserved data

Learning to rank (pairwise)

Data: pairwise observation (preference)

Example model: Bradley–Terry (BTL) model

В

| C

?

B |

$$P(i > j) = \frac{p_i}{p_i + p_j} \qquad \boxed{A > }$$

Example algorithm for BTL model: Rank Centrality

Build graph from pairwise data as the following



i selected / (# i selected + # j selected)

Negahban, Sahand, Sewoong Oh, and Devavrat Shah. "Rank centrality: Ranking from pairwise comparisons. Operations Research 65.1 (2016): 266-287.

Rank Centrality

Algorithm: random walk on the graph until converged (Markov chain, similar to PageRank)

=> stationary distribution equals to rank!



Rank Centrality

Algorithm: random walk on the graph until converged (Markov chain, similar to PageRank)

=> stationary distribution equals to rank!



Example: StarCraft + Rank Centrality

									÷ 1		ਤਿਹੁਤ	-	* 0	1	
전체	리그	시즌	리그	날짜 구분	고승자	승자종족	패자	패자종족		메드드딩	동선오	- '	2	2	
	1	1 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.01 (5개인	베르트랑	Terran	홍진호	Zerg		박신영	장진수		2	2	
	3	3 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.01 (1개인	박신영	Zerg	장진수	Zerg	-	김현진	김정민		4	5	
	4	4 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.01 (5개인	김현진	Terran	김정민	Terran		서지호	서하스				
	6	6 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.01 (5개인	서지훈	Terran	성학승	Zerg		지지꾼			6	7	
	7	7 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.08 (5개인	박경락	Zerg	이현승	Terran		막경탁	이연승		0	0	
	9	9 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.08 (5개인	최수범	Terran	나도현	Terran		최수범	나도현		õ	9	
	10	10 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.08 (5개인	김성제	Protoss	윤정민	Terran		김성제	윤정민		10	11	
	12	12 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.08 (토개인	임요환	Terran	전태규	Protoss	-	이이하	저태기		10		
	13	13 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.15 (5개인	송병석	Protoss	이장훈	Zerg		임표완	안내ㅠ		12	13	
	15	15 EVER 2003	성규	03.03.15 (1개인	임요환	Terran	이윤열	Terran		송병석	이장운		14	10	
	16	16 EVER 2003	성규	03.03.15 (1개인	소성현	Terran	김현신	Terran		임요환	이윤열		14	12	
	18	18 EVER 2003	성규	03.03.15 (5개인	베르트당	Terran	성약승	Zerg		조전혀	긴혀진		16	17	
	19	19 EVER 2003	성규	03.03.22 (5개인	우신설	Zerg	죄수법	Destant			서치스		10	1	
	21	21 EVER 2003	'8π' ਸ਼ਹ	03.03.22 (371 2)	이연승	Zerg	안대규	Protoss		메드드딩	영약공		14	18	
	22	22 EVER 2003	ੱਖੋਜੀ ਸ਼ਾਹ	03.03.22 (37) 21	니지중	Torran	바거라	Zorg		주진철	최수범		10	1	
	24	24 EVER 2003	'8ਜ ਸੁਹੂ	03.03.22 (37) 21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Protoss	국경덕 조변충	Protoss		이현승	전태규		19	4	
	23	27 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.29 (17110)	0129	Terran	조장조	Zerg		이재호	바저서		0	7	
	28	28 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.29 (171.9)	이 관 글 반 성 후	Protoss	- C 글 서지호	Terran		니지승	비가리				
	30	30 EVER 2003	정규	03.03.29 (1711.9)	반동우	Protoss	이재후	Protoss	/ -	지지운	막경탁		20	10	
	31	31 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.05 (1711 2)	박정석	Protoss	백영민	Protoss		송병석	조병호		0	15	
	33	33 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.05 (토개인	성학승	Zera	박경락	Zera		이윤열	주진철		9	10	
	34	34 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.05 (5개인	최연성	Terran	베르트랑	Terran		반성호	서지후		21	22	
	36	36 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.05 (5개인	기욤	Protoss	김성제	Protoss		HEO	이제휴			-	
	37	37 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.12 (5개인	홍진호	Zerg	최인규	Terran		빅동욱	이새운		6	8	
	39	39 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.12 (5개인	이윤열	Terran	서지훈	Terran		박정석	백영민		16	22	
	40	40 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.12 (5개인	주진철	Zerg	장진수	Zerg		성학승	박경락		10	25	
	42	42 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.12 (트개인	베르트랑	Terran	윤정민 /	Terran	-	치여서	베르트라		18	20	
	43	43 EVER 2003	정규	03.04.19 (트개인	임요환	Terran	박경락	Zerg		-100	-11				
										기욤	김정제		24	6	
										홍진호	최인규		25	21	

이윤열

서지훈

Total 340 player, 9100 match

for 11 years

Edge list of match-up record



Competition record(undirected)



Top 10 Young-ho Lee Jea-Dong Lee Teak-Yong Kim Byoung-Goo Song Myeong-Hoon Jeong Bo-Sung Yeom Yong-Tae Yoon Jae-Ho Lee Sang-Moon Shin Myeoung-Woon Kim

Metric for Ranking

NDCG (Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain)

Mean Average Precision (MAP)

Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR)

The measurements are NOT differentiable

Models for learning to rank

Pointwise Models

estimate ranking by computing score for each example then sorting by the score (based on regression or classification)

data: (i, j, relevance score)

- Logistic regression, PRank, MCRank ...

Models for learning to rank

Pairwise Models

constructing pairwise rank model by minimizing inversions in the given pairs (the problem is transformed into a binary classification)

data: (i, j, preference)

- RankNet, SVMRank, AdaRank, LambdaMART...

Models for learning to rank

Listwise Models

Directly (non-differential) optimizing rank metric such as NDCG, MAP

Could be solved by genetic algorithm, simulated annealing, relaxation ...

Learning to rank

Directly optimize ranking in offline

Even though learning to rank optimize ranking, it still does not optimize 'profit' (CTR) directly

More Novel Methods

Learning to Rank Explore / Exploit Session Based Recommendation Deep Learning

Multi-armed Bandit Problem



K arms with unknown reward distributions

-

Maximize reward (or minimize regret) over time T Each time, a policy select a single arm and receive reward

Example: Bernoulli bandit



Select: AABBBBBCABBB... Reward: 101001101111..

Exploration vs. Exploitation

Exploration: Since we have no information of each arm, we have to 'explore' unknown arms repeatedly

Exploitation: Play the best arm (empirically) to get large reward

Trade off between exploration and exploitation

Algorithm (Epsilon greedy)

with probability 1 - e, Play best arm

with probability e, Play a random arm

After enough large exploration, epsilon greedy play randomly with probability epsilon

Algorithm (UCB)

UCB (Upper Confidence Bound)

$$i = \arg\max_{i} \mu_i + P_i$$

(UCB 1)
$$i = \arg \max_{i} \bar{x}_{i} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln t}{n_{i}}}$$

Algorithm (Thompson Sampling)

Algorithm 2 Thompson sampling for the Bernoulli bandit **Require:** α , β prior parameters of a Beta distribution $S_i = 0, F_i = 0, \forall i. \{ \text{Success and failure counters} \}$ for t = 1, ..., T do for i = 1, ..., K do Draw θ_i according to Beta $(S_i + \alpha, F_i + \beta)$. end for Draw arm $\hat{i} = \arg \max_i \theta_i$ and observe reward r if r = 1 then $S_{\hat{\imath}} = S_{\hat{\imath}} + 1$ else $F_{\hat{i}} = F_{\hat{i}} + 1$ end if end for

Chapelle, Olivier, and Lihong Li. "An empirical evaluation of thompson sampling." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2011.

Bandit in Recommender System

Oracle CTR


Bandit in Recommender System

Think each item as the arm of the bandit

Than, **reward** is **click** and **reward distribution** is equal to **CTR**

Now, we can find the item with best CTR while dealing with explore / exploit trade-off!

Limitation of MAB in real world

Stochastic bandit assumes the following

- Each time, bandit only choose single arm (i.e., observe only single item)
- Immediately feedback (most of cases, users don't click item directly)
- Number of arms are finite and fixed (in real world, there is a 'life-cycle' of item + new item appears frequently)

Limitation of MAB in real world

Stochastic bandit assumes the following

 (cont) arm is stationary (there is 'positional bias' and CTR of each item is affected by co-recommend items)

Modified MAB for RecSys



Arms: candidates for recommendation (by CF, CB, learning to rank, ...)

IMP-TS (improved MP-TS)

Algorithm 1 Multiple-play Thompson sampling (MP-TS) for binary rewards



1400

1200

MP-TS

Komiyama, Junpei, Junya Honda, and Hiroshi Nakagawa. "Optimal regret analysis of thompson sampling in stochastic multiarmed bandit problem with multiple plays." ICML 2015

Personalization using MAB

Note that MAB requires MANY experiments until converge to near optimal

Theorem 1. (Regret upper bound of MP-TS) For any sufficiently small $\epsilon_1 > 0, \epsilon_2 > 0$, the regret of MP-TS is upper-bounded as

$$\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Reg}(T)] \leq \sum_{i \in [K] \setminus [L]} \left(\frac{(1 + \epsilon_1) \Delta_{i,L} \log T}{d(\mu_i, \mu_L)} \right) + C_a(\epsilon_1, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K) + C_b(T, \epsilon_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K),$$

One bandit for one person = random reco.

Semi-personalization using MAB



One bandit for one user cluster

= better than random

Questions)

- How to clustering?
- Still not fully 'personalized'

Contextual Bandit



Figure 1: A snapshot of the "Featured" tab in the Today Module on Yahoo! Front Page. By default, the article at F1 position is highlighted at the story position.

For each time, contextual vector $x_{t,a}$ is observed (related to both user and item)

Li, Lihong, et al. "A contextual-bandit approach to personalized news article recommendation." Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web. ACM, 2010.

Contextual Bandit (LinUCB) $\mathbb{E}[r_{t,a}|x_{t,a}] = x_{t,a}^{\top}\theta_a^*$

- at time t, user ut observes arm a with context vector
 x_{t,a}
- context vector x_{t,a} summarizes information of both the user u_t and arm a
- θ_a is a learning parameter for each arms
- Note: if x is constant, it is exactly same as stochastic bandit

Contextual Bandit (LinUCB)

How to UCB?

- Exploit only: select maximum expectation $\mathbb{E}\left[r_{t,a}|x_{t,a}\right] = x_{t,a}^{\top}\theta_{a}^{*}$

- UCB: consider variance

$$a_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t} \left(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a + \alpha \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}^\top \mathbf{A}_a^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{t,a}} \right) \quad \mathbf{A}_a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{D}_a^\top \mathbf{D}_a + \mathbf{I}_d.$$

Theorem 4.1 Suppose the rewards $r_{t,a}$ are independent random variables with means $E[r_{t,a}] = x_{t,a}^{\top}\theta^*$, let $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2TK}{\delta}}$ and $A_t = D_t^{\top}D_t + I_d$ then with probability $1 - \delta/T$, we have $|x_{t,a}^{\top}\hat{\theta}_t - x_{t,a}^{\top}\theta^*| \leq (\epsilon + 1)\sqrt{x_{t,a}^{\top}A_t^{-1}x_{t,a}}$

Contextual Bandit (LinUCB)

Problem: how to choose context vector x?

Recall: a context vector x summaries both arm a (article) and user u

Short Answer: Run user clustering using articlerelated feature make context vector (6dimensional vector), i.e., we have to run clustering as number of articles

LinUCB: Context (details)

- Article feature: 83D categorical feature
 - URL categories: tens of classes
 - editor categories: tens of topics tagged by human
- User feature 1193D categorical feature
 - Demographic categories: 2 gender * 5 age band
 - Geographic features: about 200 locations
 - Behavioral categories: about 1000 binary categories that summarize the user consumption history within Yahoo

LinUCB: Context (details)

- To dimension reduction, project user feature onto article categories and then cluster users
- First, fit bilinear logistic regression to CTR using user/article feature
- Project user feature to article feature by

$$\psi_u = \phi_u^\top W$$

 $\phi_u^\top W \phi_a$

- Run k-means onto ψ_u with k=5
- Final 6D user feature x: cluster indicator 5D + constant 1

More readings

- [Survey] Burtini, Giuseppe, Jason Loeppky, and Ramon Lawrence. "A survey of online experiment design with the stochastic multi-armed bandit." arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.00757 (2015).
- [Empirical Study] Chapelle, Olivier, and Lihong Li. "An empirical evaluation of thompson sampling." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2011.
- [Advanced contextual bandit for recommendation] Vanchinathan, Hastagiri P., et al. "Explore-exploit in top-n recommender systems via gaussian processes." Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Recommender systems. ACM, 2014.
- [Book] Agarwal, Deepak K., and Bee Chung-Chen. "Statistical methods for recommender systems." (2016).

More Novel Methods

Learning to Rank Explore / Exploit Session Based Recommendation Deep Learning

Define 'Session'



Why session based?

Subsequent sessions of the same user should be handled independently

Favorites of users could be changed by time

Maybe session contains "context" information

(Practically) session data could be handled in 'incremental' way while matrix data couldn't

Session-based recommendations with recurrent neural networks



in a nutshell: Next-item prediction

Hidasi, Balázs, et al. "Session-based recommendations with recurrent neural networks." ICLR 2016

Personalizing Session-based Recommendations with Hierarchical Recurrent Neural Networks



Quadrana, Massimo, et al. "Personalizing session-based recommendations with hierarchical recurrent neura networks." Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. ACM, 2017.

Neural Attentive Session-based Recommendation



Figure 4: The graphical model of NARM, where the session feature c_t is represented by the concatenation of vectors c_t^g and c_t^l (as computed in equation (5) and (6)). Note that h_t^g and h_t^l play different roles, while they have the same values. The last hidden state of the global encoder h_t^g plays a role to encode the entire input clicks while the last hidden state of the local encoder h_t^l is used to compute attention weights with the previous hidden states.

Li, Jing, et al. "Neural attentive session-based recommendation." *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. ACM, 2017.

More Novel Methods

Learning to Rank Explore / Exploit Session Based Recommendation Deep Learning

Deep learning for CB (without user history)

Similarity measure using pre-trained deep models (VGG, ResNet,)

Any deep model could be used for measuring 'similarity' of the given items



t-SNE visualization of clothing items' visual features embedding. Distinctive classes of objects, e.g. those that share visual similarities are clustered around the same region of the space.

Deep content-based music recommendation



http://benanne.github.io/2014/08/05/spotify-cnns.html

Collaborative Deep Metric Learning For Video Understanding







(b) Two possible variants of **embedding network** f. Video and audio features are combined, either from the beginning (early fusion; *left*) or with element-wise multiplication after two separate towers for each of them (late fusion; *right*).

Target: triplet loss (positive: co-watch, co-clicked, ...)

Lee, Joonseok, et al. "Collaborative Deep Metric Learning for Video Understanding." (2018).



Could embed users into feature space using their watching history







 $\max_{v \in V-Q} \max_{q \in Q} \cos(f(\mathbf{x}_q), f(\mathbf{x}_v)).$



Lee, Joonseok, et al. "Collaborative Deep Metric Learning for Video Understanding." (2018).



Figure 5: Cold-start recommendation performance with different number of training data points per user, in NDCG (*left*) and MAP (*right*). We see that our CDML is relatively stronger for colder start cases.



Figure 6: YouTube-8M video classification training curve comparing different features. Adding CDML features improves classification accuracy, by bringing the complimentary user behavior information to the content information.

Lee, Joonseok, et al. "Collaborative Deep Metric Learning for Video Understanding." (2018).

More readings

- Cheng, Heng-Tze, et al. "Wide & deep learning for recommender systems." Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Deep Learning for Recommender Systems. ACM, 2016.
- Covington, Paul, Jay Adams, and Emre Sargin. "Deep neural networks for youtube recommendations." Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. ACM, 2016.

Real World RecSys



Figure 2: Overview of the recommender system.

Cheng, Heng-Tze, et al. "Wide & deep learning for recommender systems." Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Deep Learning for Recommender Systems. ACM, 2016.

Google	deep learning						Ļ	Q	
	전체	뉴스	동영상	도서	이미지	더보기		설정	도구
	검색결과	과 약 668,(000,000개	(0.34초)					

https://github.com/jcjohnson/cnn-benchmarks

Google	deep	deep learning							Ļ	Q	
	전체	뉴스	동영상	도서	이미지	더보기			설정	도구	
	검색결과	과 약 668,0	000,000개	(0.34초)							

Network	Layers	Top-1 error	Top-5 error	Speed (ms)	Citation
AlexNet	8	42.90	19.80	14.56	[1]
Inception-V1	22	_	10.07	39.14	[2]
VGG-16	16	27.00	8.80	128.62	[3]
VGG-19	19	27.30	9.00	147.32	[3]
ResNet-18	18	30.43	10.76	31.54	[4]
ResNet-34	34	26.73	8.74	51.59	[4]
ResNet-50	50	24.01	7.02	103.58	[4]
ResNet-101	101	22.44	6.21	156.44	[4]
ResNet-152	152	22.16	6.16	217.91	[4]
ResNet-200	200	21.66	5.79	296.51	[5]

https://github.com/jcjohnson/cnn-benchmarks

ResNet-200 Benchmark

GPU	cuDNN	Forward (ms)	Backward (ms)	Total (ms)
Pascal Titan X	5.1.05	104.74	191.77	296.51
Pascal Titan X	5.0.05	104.36	201.92	306.27
Maxwell Titan X	5.0.05	170.03	320.80	490.83
Maxwell Titan X	5.1.05	169.62	383.80	553.42
Maxwell Titan X	4.0.07	203.52	356.35	559.87
Pascal Titan X	None	314.77	519.72	834.48
Maxwell Titan X	None	497.57	953.94	1451.51
CPU: Dual Xeon E5-2630 v3	None	8666.43	13758.73	22425.16

ResNet-200 Benchmark

GPU	cuDNN	Forward (ms)	Backward (ms)	Total (ms)
Pascal Titan X	5.1.05	104.74	191.77	296.51
Pascal Titan X	5.0.05	104.36	201.92	306.27
Maxwell Titan X	5.0.05	170.03	320.80	490.83
Maxwell Titan X	5.1.05	169.62	383.80	553.42
Maxwell Titan X	4.0.07	203.52	356.35	559.87
Pascal Titan X	None	314.77	519.72	834.48
Maxwell Titan X	None	497.57	953.94	1451.51
CPU: Dual Xeon E5-2630 v3	None	8666.43	13758.73	22425.16



Sponsored *i* **Nvidia Tesla v100 16GB** by PNY **\$8,439**⁰⁰ + \$75.00 shipping Only 2 left in stock - order soon.

NVIDIA 900-2G610-0000-000 TESLA P40 24GB by NVIDIA

Eligible for Shipping to Korea, Republic of $$5,545^{00}$ Only 1 left in stock - order soon.



https://techcrunch.com/2017/06/27/facebook-2-billion-users/



https://techcrunch.com/2017/06/27/facebook-2-billion-users/
As YouTube receives more than 90 PB of videos data every year

It has more than 7 billion videos available out of 5 billion videos watched every day by more than 30 million users

It will take more than 199771 Year to watch all videos available on YouTube.

All data are stored at the Google modular Data center located at different locations.

https://www.quora.com/How-many-videos-are-on-YouTube-2017-1

Technical difficulty: Scalability

Real-world RecSys should be able to handle super super many queries (5B queries per day)

Real-world RecSys could compute similarity over all-items (over 7B videos), even KNN takes hopelessly long time



Figure 2: Overview of the recommender system.

KNN

import time
import numpy as np

dim = 100 N = 10000000 *# 10M* topk = 10

x = np.random.random(dim)
D = np.random.random((N, dim))

```
# assume that x and D are normalized
t = time.time()
print(-np.argsort(D.dot(x))[:topk])
print(time.time() - t)
```

```
[-6106596 -5824451 -5924596 -6692504 -1097690 -1103777 -2550588 -6209227
-1983432 -893117]
6.813840866088867
```

How can we make faster KNN?

complexity of Naïve method: O(d²N)

Easy way: reduce d or N (d -> 20, 10, filtering N)

Other ways:

- use data structure (tree, graph)
- quantization + indexing



Build tree by random projection

https://erikbern.com/2015/10/01/nearest-neighbors-and-vector-models-part-2-how-to-search-inhigh-dimensional-spaces.html











Priority Queue for ensuring "K"







Feature quantization + indexing



VQ (vector quantization)



More readings

- [Graph based ANN] Malkov, Yu A., and Dmitry A. Yashunin. "Efficient and robust approximate nearest neighbor search using Hierarchical Navigable Small World graphs." arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.09320(2016).
- [end-to-end deep quantization method] Jeong, Yeonwoo, and Hyun Oh Song. "Efficient end-to-end learning for quantizable representations." ICML 2018

Dealing with streaming data





timestamp, user, item, referer

08-25 12:00, A, item1, -08-25 12:10, A, item2, item1 08-25 12:11, A, item3, item2 08-25 12:11, B, item2, -08-25 12:12, A, item4, item3 08-25 12:13, B, item1, item2

. . . .



User history storage

Dealing with streaming data



New items



Model storage

Feature extraction via various models (e.g. CF, CB,)



Data storage



Feature storage

Incremental learning issue



ETL (Extract / Transform / Load)

Incremental learning issue



Update user / item model



Data storage

How to serve?



Serve recommendations to users

Be updated frequently!

- incremental model learning (batch)
- dealing with NEW item / user (online)

Model storage

Feature storage

Computation issue

Inference using Machine learning models sometimes suffers from high computation cost

Possible solutions

- Caching & Indexing (i.e., save all recommendations to databases)
- Use more light and fast models (not 'deep' model)

토로스는 CF, CB, 통계 모델, 일반적인 기계학습 모델 등 다양한 모델들에서 추천 결과를 뽑고 뽑은 추천 결과를 앙상블하여 하나의 추천 결과로 병합한다. 만들어진 추천 결과가 사용자들에게 노출되기 시작하면 MAB를 사용해 가장 좋은 추천 결과가 무엇일지 찾아낸다.



https://brunch.co.kr/@kakao-it/72

References

- Introduction to Recommender Systems in 2018, tryo labs
- How Does Spotify Know You So Well? (Medium)
- NETFLIX PRIZE 다이나믹 했던 알고리즘 대회 (3), shalomeir's blog
- <u>RecSys 2016: Tutorial on Lessons Learned from Building Real-life</u> <u>Recommender Systems</u>
- Recommender Systems @ML Summer School 2014 in Pittsburgh
- Koren, Yehuda, Robert Bell, and Chris Volinsky. "Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems." Computer 8 (2009): 30-37.
- Negahban, Sahand, Sewoong Oh, and Devavrat Shah. "Rank centrality: Ranking from pairwise comparisons." Operations Research 65.1 (2016): 266-287.
- TOROS: Python Framework for Recommender System

References

- Chapelle, Olivier, and Lihong Li. "An empirical evaluation of thompson sampling." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2011.
- Komiyama, Junpei, Junya Honda, and Hiroshi Nakagawa. "Optimal regret analysis of thompson sampling in stochastic multi-armed bandit problem with multiple plays." ICML 2015
- Hidasi, Balázs, et al. "Session-based recommendations with recurrent neural networks." ICLR 2016
- Quadrana, Massimo, et al. "Personalizing session-based recommendations with hierarchical recurrent neural networks." Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. ACM, 2017.

References

- Van den Oord, Aaron, Sander Dieleman, and Benjamin Schrauwen.
 "Deep content-based music recommendation." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2013.
- Lee, Joonseok, et al. "Collaborative Deep Metric Learning for Video Understanding." (2018).
- Cheng, Heng-Tze, et al. "Wide & deep learning for recommender systems." Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Deep Learning for Recommender Systems. ACM, 2016.
- [카카오AI리포트] 내 손안의 AI 비서 추천 알고리즘